

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,374	11/29/2001	Michael J. Heller	267/242	4021
34263 7	590 05/27/2003		•	
	& MEYERS	EXAMINER		
114 PACIFICA, SUITE 100 IRVINE, CA 92618			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003 # 14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/997,374	HELLER, MICHAEL J.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey Fredman	1634
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:		1
		/V
		Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/997,374





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation "that substantially eliminates quenching" is a new issue which would require further consideration and search. More importantly, however, a review of the pages cited on page 7 of the response to identify basis for this new limitation failed to find support in those locations. In the absence of basis, this limitation appears to represent new matter and would therefore not place the application in better form..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are addressed to the claims as amended but the amendment was not entered. Consequently, the arguments are not persuasive regarding the pending claims.